

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2002-344

February 10, 2003

RCC MINNESOTA, INC.
SRCL HOLDING COMPANY
SACO RIVER COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
Request For Designation As Eligible
Telecommunications Carrier

PROCEDURAL ORDER

A discovery conference was held today and the following persons were in attendance:

Joseph Donahue	CST
Ben Sanborn	TAM
David LaFuria	RCC
Beth Kohler	RCC
Kim Kenway	RCC
Bill Black	OPA
Wayne Jortner	OPA

During the conference, RCC's objections to discovery were resolved as follows:

General Objection

Overruled. Parties are entitled to test the specific assertions made in RCC's testimony and brief.

Specific Objections

CST's Data Requests

CST-4 Objection sustained. The information sought goes beyond the issues before the Commission.

CST-5 Objection overruled. RCC has made specific assertions regarding its receipt of ETC monies and its construction plans.

TAM's Data Requests

TAM Sets 2, 3, and 4 Objection overruled. Parties are entitled to test the knowledge of RCC's witnesses on topics covered in the witness' testimony.

TAM 5-1 Sustained in part, overruled in part. RCC shall answer this data request by stating the amount of USF monies it receives on a nationwide basis.

- TAM 5-5 Objection sustained as to Part B. As to Part D, the Commission takes judicial notice of the fact that it has not conducted any proceedings to determine whether or not a cellular provider in Maine is providing basic exchange service.
- TAM 5-6 Objection overruled. The provision of flat-rated local service is a federal ETC requirement. Parties are entitled to explore RCC's ability to meet this requirement.
- TAM 5-7 Objection sustained in part. RCC shall provide a list of each of its home exchange offerings and the areas covered by the home exchange.
- TAM 5-8 Objection sustained in part, overruled in part. RCC shall provide a brief description of its books of account.

OPA's Data Requests

- OPA-3 Objection sustained. The information sought in the first part of the question is a matter of public record. The information sought in the second part of the question is not one RCC can properly answer.
- OPA-4 Question withdrawn.
- OPA-10 Objection overruled. Parties are entitled to test the knowledge of RCC's witnesses on topics covered in the witness' testimony.
- OPA-12 Objection overruled. This question is directed at a specific statement made by Mr. O'Connor in his testimony and is therefore relevant.
- OPA-13 Objection sustained. This question has been addressed in RCC's brief.
- OPA-16 Objection sustained. This question is more properly asked in cross examination.

BY ORDER OF THE HEARING EXAMINER

Trina M. Bragdon